

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,214	06/21/2001	Frank Melzer	LO25-003	8415
21567	7590 12/02/2004		EXAMINER	
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.			SHAFER, RICKY D	
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
J. 3.2,			2872	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/888,214	MELZER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ricky D. Shafer	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
<u>_</u>	uguet 2004					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 August 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	_					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-21,26 and 27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,22 and 28-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 23-25 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/23/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/888,214 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/23/2004 has been entered.

2. Newly submitted claims 26 and 27 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

This application as amended contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A). The optical elements being mirrors; and
- B). The optical elements being lens.

Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention (species "A"), this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 26 and 27 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2872

4. Claims 1-3, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marmo et al ('276).

Marmo et al discloses a method of joining together a multiplicity of optical elements on a basic body comprising positioning a plurality of individual optical mirror elements (24) on a basic body (28,31,32); and connecting the plurality of the individual optical elements to the basic body by a galvanoplastic joining technique. Note figures 7 to 26 along with the associated description thereof.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4, 22 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marmo et al ('276) in view of Sweatt et al ('577).

Marmo et al discloses all of the subject matter claimed, note the above explanation, except for explicitly stating that the mirror facets are used for beam mixing and field imaging for an EUV lighting system, wherein said EUV system includes a light source for directing the light onto the mirror facets and to a reticle.

Sweatt et al teaches it is known to use mirror facets in a EUV lighting system, wherein said EUV system includes a source (22) for directing light onto the mirror facets (30) and to a reticle (70) in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of beam mixing and field imaging.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the mirror facets of Marmo et al are obviously capable of being used in

Art Unit: 2872

an typical EUV lighting system, as taught by Sweatt et al, in order to receive light from a source and direct said light to a reticle so as to provide for beam mixing and field imaging.

As to the limitations of claim 22, barring a showing of criticality or unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the size (number) of optical elements of Marmo et al to include a size (number) within the range recited by applicant in order to obtain a desirable diameter of interest due to the fact that such a modification would have involved a mere change in size (number) of components and a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art. Note In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

- Claims 23-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would 7. be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The amendment filed 23 February 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it 8. introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:
- A). On Page 4 of the specification, the addition of a description of Fig. 2b in the Brief Description Of The Drawings contains new matter due the fact that Fig. 2b includes mirror elements (9) being partially covered with a protection layer (30).
- B). On page 5 of the specification, the addition of -- and 2b-- is considered new matter for the same reasons stated above.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,214

Art Unit: 2872

C). On page 6 of the specification, the addition of the language "Additionally...process."

is considered new matter for the same reasons stated above.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

9. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 2b includes mirror elements (9) being partially

covered with a protection layer (30) which is considered to be new matter. A proposed drawing

correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment

of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 10.

examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

The silf

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RDS

November 29, 2004

Page 5